

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/316,938	05/21/1999	MICHAEL THORSEN	1685	8498
21834 75	590 02/21/2003			
BECK AND TYSVER			EXAMINER	
SUITE 100	S AVENUE SOUTH		RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55419		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati p No	Applicant(s)				
	Applicati n No.					
Office Action Summary	09/316,938 Examiner	THORSEN ET AL.  Art Unit				
,		2175				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Sam Rimell					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 SAM RIMELL  Attachments						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/316,938

Art Unit: 2175

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

Claim 23 ends with the phrase "without any other entities involved in the system besides the administrator, the plan sponsor, the health care providers and the patients. Examiner finds that this limitation is inconsistent with the disclosure of the invention. For example, the disclosure sets forth the steps of sending statements to the patient and physician. The entities which facilitate sending, such as the postal service or electronic messaging service would be additional entities in the system. Payments made by patients to the administrator or payments made by the administrator to physicians would require additional entities in the system, such as credit card entities or banks.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman Jr. et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,012,035) in view of Tarter et al. (U.S. Patent 5,550,734).

Claim 19: Freeman Jr. et al. discloses a system in which multiple providers (physicians) provide medical services to multiple patients. The providers report the services they rendered to a first entity, which is the insurance company of Freeman, Jr. et al. (col. 2, line 14; col 2, lines 41-43).

Freeman Jr. et al. differs from the claims in that it does not disclose the first entity (insurance company) reporting to a sponsor. However, Tarter et al. at col. 4, lines 36-44

Application/Control Number: 09/316,938

Art Unit: 2175

describes a sponsor in the form of an employer (a commercial bank) which sponsors an

insurance plan for its employees, establishes the insurance policy and pays the premiums for the

policy. The entity would thus report to the sponsor on a periodic basis indicating the aggregate

amount owed by the sponsor (premiums due) for the services rendered by the entity (insurance

coverage). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Freeman Jr. et

al. to include an employer a s a sponsor of the described insurance plan as an efficient design for

group health insurance coverage as taught by Tarter et al.

The entity (insurance company) reports to the patient on a periodic basis of the amount

owed by the patient for provider services not covered by the insurance (col. 8, lines 30-35 of

Freeman Jr. et al.).

The entity (insurance company) collects payments from the sponsor (employer) in the

form of insurance premiums.

The entity (insurance company) pays the provider for the services rendered regardless of

any payments by the patient to the entity. This is because the entity is an insurance company

making insurance payments on the basis of an insurance contract established and paid for by an

employer.

Additional payments my be collected from the patient for the patient's share of

uncovered claims (col. 8, lines 33-36 of Freeman Jr. et al.).

Claim 20: The entity collects a lump sum payment from the sponsor in the form of

insurance premiums.

Claim 21: See remarks for claim 19.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/316,938

Art Unit: 2175

<u>Claim 22:</u> The "explanation of benefits" sent to the patient and described at col. 8, line 32 of Freeman et al. reads as the "plain language description of services rendered".

Claim 23: See remarks for claim 19. The "administrator" is equivalent to the "entity" of

claim 19 and reads as the insurance company of Freeman, Jr. et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at

telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 2175